Site 21 - Land behind Well Cottages (? ha)

Team Scores 25 (18/26)	L.Bray 26 (20/26)						(20/26)		1 dwelling		L.Bray			Site
ယ	3	trees.	some mature	hedges and	is bounded by	steep track. It	alongside a fairly	Well Cottages	away behind	site, tucked	This is a sloping	the site	topography of	Nature and
4	5							to local services.	located in relation	and very poorly	The site is remote	local services	the village(s) and	Relationship to
2	2			trees.	the surrounding	Cottages and	view by Well	hidden from	the site is largely	the countryside	Although set in	impact	setting and	Landscape
2	2	SAC GHB buffer zone.	South Hams	lies within the	Most of the site	ecological value.	site has some	means that the	its rural location	constraints, but	No designated		impact	Ecological
2	2	the adjacent cottages.	account of	took careful	development	design of any	providing the	heritage impact	have no adverse	the site would	Development of		impact	Heritage
3	3		access.	safe pedestrian	Byway. No	is a County	track which	steep unmade	via a fairly	site would be	Access to the	Services	Drainage	Access
4													settlements	Near existing
5													site	Brownfield

	Suitability	Availability	Viability	Overall performance
L.Bray	The site has been put forward for consideration for two	Understood to	Viability unlikely	Significant constraints. Remoteness makes the
	dwellings. However, even a single dwelling would be unsustainable in this remote location.	be available	to be an issue	site less suitable

Services or Near to Existing Settlement Notes: There are similarities here to Site 5, but the group regard this site as being more remote and it did not score so well in either Relationship to Village &

Site 29 - Hillcroft Field and barn area

Ecological Heritage Access impact Drainage Services	Ecological Heritage impact
Je Access Drainage Services	Access Drainage Services

	Suitability	Availability	Viability	Overall performance
L.Bray		Understood to	Viability unlikely	
		be available	to be an issue	

Notes:

Site 2 - Land behind Live & Let Live pub, Woolston Green (0.8 ha) This is also SHELAA site SH_50_12_16

Team Scores 20 (9/26)	L.Bray 22 (8/26)	L.Bray The site slopes down to the 1 dwelling east, bounded by hedges to its northern and southern boundaries. There are no significant physical obstructions to its development.	the site
2	2	slopes the unded es to ern thern ies. e no nt ions to opment.	
7	1	ated at the of the village, site is within site is within ing distance of school, church, ge hall and	the village(s) and solutions in the village (s) and solutions in t
2	3	Tucked away alongside the lane to Beara, development of the site would partially close the gap between Beara and Woolston Green.	Landscape is setting and impact
ω	4	Part of South Hams Greater Horseshoe Bats (GHB) SAC buffer zone.	Ecological impact i
2	2	Development of the site would have minimal heritage impact providing the design of any development took careful account of the village.	impact I
4	4	Access from the adjacent lane would involve the loss of some hedgerow, and the junction with the village street has very poor visibility with little scope for improvement. There are no drainage problems identified.	Access Drainage
			Near existing settlements
СП			Brownfield site

	Suitability	Availability	Viability	Overall performance
L.Bray	The site is well located immediately adjacent to	Understood to	Viability unlikely	Significant constraints. Poor access and visibility
	the village, but its development could result in the	be available	to be an issue	and the risk of coalesence make the site less
	coalescence of Beara and Woolston Green. Access is			suitable
	highly problematic and development may have adverse			
	impacts on the SAC buffer zone. (Recorded as having			
	"significant constraints" in SHDC SHELAA).			

question if that rendered Site 2 unacceptable. 1 dwelling only leaving a viable field area in land remaining. Notes: Overdevelopment of Landscove? Although this site ranked fairly highly, as there are 14 houses planned for development opposite, the group would

Site 16 - New Lane, Opp Playing Field Staverton (? ha)

Team Scores 25 (18/26)	L.Bray 26 (20/26)	L.Bray 4 dwellings (20/26)	Site
2	2	This field slopes down towards the south, sandwiched between the main road to its south and a small lane to the north. It is bounded by hedges on all sides and contains some trees, including a belt of trees at its western flank.	Nature and topography of the site
သ	3	The site lies about 500m west of the core of Staverton village.	Relationship to the village(s) and local services
2	2	The site is contained within the broad setting of the distended village form, lying opposite the playing field and pavilion.	Landscape setting and impact
ယ	4	The site lies within the South Hams SAC GHB buffer zone.	Ecological impact
2	2	Development of the site would be likely to have little or no heritage impact.	Heritage impact
5	5	Access to the site is problematic. It is a little elevated above the main road and to create access there would entail significant loss of hedgebank. Access from the smaller lane to the north of the site, whilst easier to create, requires use of the very poor junction of that lane with the main road. Neither solution is satisfactory. No known drainage problems.	Access Drainage Services
ယ			Near existing settlements
ហ			Brownfield site

suitable	an issue		difficulties it faces make it ill suited for development.	
unlikely to be unsafe pedestrian accessibility make the site less	unlikely to be	be available	respects, its location in the SAC and the access	
Significant constraints.Poor access, the SAC and	Viability	Understood to	Although this site performs reasonably well in some	L.Bray
Overall performance	Viability	Availability	Suitability	

Notes: Fairly consistent assessment.

Site 24 - Field opposite Weston Cottages (? ha)

				corner)				(18/26)
0 1	ယ	2	2	(Eastern	4	O1	ယ	Scores 25
				1				Team
		3	2	3	4	5	3	L.Bray 28 (22/26)
		known drainage problems.	טוס לים וופט.					
		the A384.	of nearby					
		footways along	account					
		pedestrian	took careful	zone.			boundary.	
		there are no	development	SAC GHB buffer	landscape.		to its northern	
		road, although	design of any	the South Hams	to the local		mature trees	
		adjoining minor	providing the	part is within)	contribution		hedges with	(22/26)
		corner from the	heritage impact	westernmost	a significant	to local services.	Bounded by	
		site's eastern	have no adverse	adjoins (and its	and makes	located in relation	above the A384.	2 dwelling
		be gained at the	the site would	immediately	to public view	and very poorly	field elevated	
		Access could	Development of	The site	The site is open	The site is remote	This is a sloping	L.Bray
		Services			impact	local services	the site	
site	settlements	Drainage	impact	impact	setting and	the village(s) and	topography of	
Brownfield	Near existing	Access	Heritage	Ecological	Landscape	Relationship to	Nature and	Site

	Suitability	Availability	Viability	Overall performance
L.Bray	The site is remote and open to public view in the open	Understood to	Viability unlikely	\prime iability unlikely $\ \ \ $ Significant constraints. Remoteness and unsafe
	countryside. Residents would be heavily dependent on	be available	to be an issue	pedestrian accessibility make the site less
	the private car and pedestrian access would be unsafe.			suitable
	The site is therefore ill suited for development.			

Notes: Eastern corner only suitable for 2 dwellings.

This site is low in the rank order, although there is a little settlement there, the group gave it a 5 for Relationship to Village.

Site 7 - Field beside Westpark Cottages, Staverton (0.40 ha)

N
5
known drainage problems.
There are no
the main road.
that lane with
poor junction of
use of the very
south, requiring
small lane to the
heritage impact. to be via the
have little or no It would have
problematic.
the site would the site is
Development of Access to
Services
Drainage
Access

	Suitability	Availability	Viability	Overall performance
L.Bray	The site has been the subject of applications for housing Understood to	Understood to	Viability unlikely	Viability unlikely Significant constraints. Poor access and unsafe
	which have been refused and dismissed on appeal.	be available	to be an issue	pedestrian accessibility make the site less
	Although it performs fairly well in several respects,			suitable
	access difficulties make it ill suited for development.			

Notes: